Ludgvan Parish Council

Tel: 07928 813 653
Email: clerk@ludgvan-pc.gov.uk

Minutes –11th November 2026

Chair's Announcements

Mentioned LM laying wreath

Apologies RP, LB

AGENDA NO.	
LPC26.27.335	none
LPC26.27.336	QK- Background 4 generations in business in St Ives worked in maritime all his life, fishing out of Newlyn, worked all over the world family home has always been in the area. Has a young family noticed over the last 2-3 yrs big changes. Is dissatisfied with the bureaucracy and how long it takes to do things. Noticed a change in the area and the lack of regard for local people and local residents. Highlights the government planning changes. Would like to be involved as best he can. Does have to go away for work occasionally. Father runs care home in Chypons. Resides in the parish. Mentioned the difficulty with the different districts making it harder to resolve issues. Would like to help steer things in the right way if possible. SM – Asked to explain comment from application. QK – Says that communication is poor and people are not sure what is covered by LPC and what isn't. Mentions how disconnected everything is and people aren't sure where they need to go to get things resolved. SM- How can it be improved QK – suggests trying to connect more with residents in the parish. Says there are so many different things socially happening and there has been a loss of community. Suggests finding more ways to communicate with people SM what management and communication skills do you bring AG could you expand on your job QK – Was a fisherman but diversified into marine projects such as wind farming, consultation for the crown estate and different things where you have to get result. Mentions admin and goals that need to be achieved. There is a fine line between admin and achieving results. Have been a skipper of boats and coordinated on larger vessels. He mentions you have to navigate things correctly to collaborate properly.

	Ag highlights that his experience fills a gap. CR What do you know about Ludgvan parish QK states the boundaries are broader than he thought they were. Has loved the community got married in Ludgvan church. Has not had enough time previously to engage with the community as he would like but now he has a family he does now. Didn't realise how big Ludgvan is initially. SM – Would you be happy to represent all of Ludgvan parish QK – Yes. Mentions that the changes at St Ives changes have affected lots of the area. Mentions all the traffic schemes throughout the parish. MW – what made you interested in joining the parish council. QK I would like to play a part if I can. SM – Asks about understanding of declaration of interest QK would be happy to leave room during discussions of topics on his register of interests. LK – how would you feel about issues outside of our ability how do you react QK – Just do the best I can and accept the outcome despite frustration. AG – Are you happy to do training. QK – always fine to do that. Discussion of sharing expertise SM – How much time are you willing to put in preparing for meetings. QK – is willing to put in as much as is needed. Considers the PC important work as he has a young family and states there is a price to pay to be part of the community and he is willing to pay it. Carried unanimously.
LPC26.27.337	Declaration
LPC26.27.338	
LPC26.27.339	None

LPC26.27.340	Liz Oliver - Eglos road application. Raises that the council voted against it. LO wishes to reinforce the strength of feeling against the application. Asks what the parish council can do at the next stage of the planning. States disappointment that no Cllrs were able to make the committee meeting. Notes that the plots are all on right move individually for sale. Raises lots of issues with timescale, infrastructure etc. Asks the council for help and advice. There is such strength of feeling. States the planning officer's report was biased and had inadequate photographs. States she was asked. SM – states there are changes to planning applications such as the applications under the new threshold. Most applications will be decided by individual planning officers, Even with 1000 people object it will still be. Explains new rules about calling them into committee. Explains this is based on Westminster legislation. Highlights lack of democratic checks. JM CC reps can comment and the comments from the parish council are considered. So it is still worth commenting on the portal but under the new laws and planning regime. LO Asks for a summary of the planning changes to be published a JL Clarifies the role of the parish council remaining a statutory consultee, The officers still have to look at the consultee comments. CC Cllrs can put pressure onto planning officers. Planning officers are also faced with the cost of appealing a declined application. Public policy is weighted in favour of sustainable development. AG raises the NPS that is ongoing as a way to pressure CC. JM there is still the technical details stage. Explains the new 5 day protocol and that LPC used the old one to oppose the Eglos road planning. Now it can take it to higher senor officers instead of the committee. LM due to the flooding issues most builders won't touch it. SM – states changes in housing quota for Cornwall being a factor in CC pushing ahead with unpopular planning applications. No Name given – infrastructure quota what is happening with that? SM -States that LPC have put in strong complaints LO – Asks about the plot's infrastructure issues. SM – We have to wait for it to come through the planning process.
LPC26.27.341	JL – Highlights the A30 meeting. Update from CC officers – Chris deacon – Currently engaging with NH about bus shelters, Varfell, Whitecross and Crowlas. Awaiting outcome of trial pits, once completed will be presented to national highways likely in next financial year.

	Has been in contact with the residents about Eglos Planning and will continue to scrutinize the applications. JM states he feels it will be difficult for any developer to build there at this time. CC doing work on the emergency plan, considering generators, internet links and roles for everyone in the community to look after vulnerable people. Community resilience meeting on the 16th LM -suggest involving supermarkets in emergency planning. JL – has been involved with a working group about the future of waste and recycling in Cornwall and looking at any improvements to recycle more and save money at the same time. Went on a day trip to Weston-super-mare and saw how it was handled there as they are topping the charts on recycling, efficiency and saving money. CC is also looking at different types of bags to help prevent rubbish blowing around. Needs improvements and to gather residents' feedback. Current contract is fixed until 2029. JM Echoes JL's comments on the a30 meeting being quite positive. Raises the additional budget from CC for the bus shelters. JM had lots of emails from LR residents about roadworks and incomplete improvements. JM has been pushing highways to complete this and deal with outstanding issues. Issues aren't in JM view being addressed quick enough. Warning about blocked drains in main road inspection says they are ok JM has contested this. Marazion have been looking at a one-way system to deal with busses congesting the narrow streets. Have been discussing options. One way system has been abandoned. Talked about changing bus timetables.
LPC26.27.342	**Approved unanimously.** *****note this was shifted to later in the meeting and took place after LPC26.72.360
LPC26.27.343	Given
LPC26.27.344	None
LPC26.27.345	None
LPC26.27.346	None
LPC26.27.347	DT QK – Requesting a 30 miles per hour speed limit on a section of the C0159, Joining from Trink at Moomaid (nance fishing lakes) The speed limit of 40 causes issues for primary age children to walk on or cross the road. Requesting the road is made 30 mph and would take 6 minutes to travel down. Andy Hoskin has failed to reply to the group on this matter. Is a c class road connecting the b331 to Lelant. The C0159 is a rural road that is primarily for small settlements. It is sensitive to commuter traffic. There are 8 bends with some classed as dangerous or very dangerous. Has educational and walking groups along it. Big case to change limit to 30 mph as at risk road users' local recreational usage is low. Updated speed limit was without consultation, DT states it is not compatible with rural traffic given the restricted vision from access points. 30 mph would ensure the safety of the road. St Ives didn't consult with LPC on the changes that increased the traffic on the C0159. QK – health and safety should take precedence over costings. Horsedowns had a 30mph for 3 turnings. St Ives now have the new traffic scheme which has shunted traffic over. LPC wasn't contacted on this change.

	DT – alternate routes such as Trencrom can't cope when the C0159 is closed by accident Highlights other roads such as the A394 from Newton to Germoe, Carbis bay is 30 with less bends, better lighting. SM – States Andy Hoskin's limitations in funding and guidelines. Clerk to email Andy Hoskin for an update clerk to ask st Ives about the consultation. QK Carbis bay road was chosen to be the main road had lots of improvements to make it safer now the new traffic scheme not consulting properly and not signposting it well has caused issue. They spent ¾ of a million on consultation and it seems wasteful. Says St Ives TC has made the old coach road the main road. Asks LPC to get involved before there are deaths on the road. DT one local resident is still suffering PTSD from an accident, Tractors have a long nose that takes time to come out harming visibility and as it is a rural road the speed not suitable. Mentions Andy Hoskin seemed to have his mind made up before the meeting. AG – The new road was part of the planning decision for St Ives new system. Due to the new roads and one way they should have impact statements and material and should be documented, and it would be worth looking into. Luke Rodgers is also a town councillor at St Ives. Responsibility and consultation process rests with St Ives. Asks if we can request from St Ives TC information QK the emergency response strategy for crashes is poor. 2 months ago the recent incident was handled poorly and there is not organised diversions which pushes main road traffic onto unsuitable roads. AG It is a problem as it affects our highway sections. Ask for feasibility studies. And chase up consideration on speed limits and the due process. **AG Propose: LPC write to highways Cornwall and st Ives TC to say the new st Ives road system is having an impact on the infrastructure in Ludgvan parish. Request the consultation and due process from the feasibility studies. LPC wants to see the specific consultation process which has led to the new alternative route to st Ives. Evidence of safety and the rationale behind the speed limit. Attach the accident list that was presented today. Ask if it was considered during the process.** **Carried unanimously.** Long rock residents Association Neville Brown NB asked the parish council to provide a rubbish bin near bus stop at Morva reach. Mentions the road is the main walkway for the Varfell employees also the inhabitants of the pods. Questions the ability of previously mentioned walkers to use litter bins. Mentioned lots of rubbish and dog waste being an issue in the area.

	NB stated that the new houses have nearly doubled the population of long rock and will have more dogs. SM – states that there are lots of new council taxes. States that it should be the responsibility of Morva reach to provide a bin. Have previously contacted CC to request a bin but they haven't. CC will not commit to emptying it. LRRA the council tax from 150 new houses would cover the cost. JM suggests lobbying CC for a bin near Morva Reach. AG – raises the maintenance fee from Morva Reach. LRRA – States the bin would be in Morva reach not the footpath. JM – raises the location right on the verge of Morva Reach property. LM- raises the bus stop needing bins. SM – says we could purchase a bin but do not want to get into the maintenance. Stuart Dickins – Part of the community speed watch group. While monitored the motorists behave themselves. When they aren't there the behaviour is different. It comes up at the LRRA about speeding vehicles. Asking for support or guidance for VAS signs. Phase two of the traffic calming may bring down the speed but this is currently an unknown as to effectiveness. Tweaks to the roundabout haven't helped. Asking for support and guidance on these signs. Has been in contact with Andy Hoskin. Highways have said give the scheme a chance and then look into changes and alteration. Asking for ideas for support guidance and ideas if the scheme doesn't work. SM- raises the sign at the roundabout garage was previously looked into. Can ask highways about a sign. JM Helston has vas signs and they found posts they could move the vas sign between now two are moved around the town and incidents of speeding have dropped 10% HTC has a bigger budget. Marazion's were £4500. Rosudgeon's was £28000 SD – States the signs do work. They don't fine you but sometime give the impression they do. Looking for help with planning funding and getting them up LK – asking if it just the two locations are the only place they look for the speed. SD – explain the police dictate the location and that it has to be highly visible to traffic, taking into account corners, traffic lights and safety for the spotters. It was the only place police permitted them to do speed watch. Potentially they can do outside Morva Reach when the road is brought down to 40 but not currently. Possibility to monitor the other way. JM new wave of CAP funding soon Goldsithney asked for 4 and were given 2 in the current wave. Recommends contacting guy pace. SD wants the speed to come down.

	SM- recommends contacting highways over the improvements to lower the speed SD mentioned that some positives such as speed bump were dropped from the plan and it would have made a big difference had it gone ahead. Mentions how highways lacks money to complete a lot of the time. Asks the parish council to push for that etc. Heidie sims- The new community hall at long rock. Asked when will it be ready. Any comeback if the developers are gone and there are issues. Asks to keep LRRA updated on the process. SM- explains the situation. And the delays. SD – asks about the plans for the halls for ideas and activities with the hall. SM – remembers the usage of the old LR hall and its uses. Building has fitted toilets and kitchen. Should be used to the benefit of everyone in the parish. Parish meeting and will engage with the community. Currently going through the legal side and searches and get the equipment together. Mentions farmers market, hiring contracts etc. Recommends LRRA send suggestions to Clerk. SM – Mentions naming issues with hall and states there will be a neutral name. NB – Contests the naming suggesting it is named Long Rock village hall. HS - mentioned the s106 is to replace the LR village hall. SM - mentions that the Cllrs here will democratically vote on the name. AG the cost of the hall will be carried by the precept of everyone in LPC so it should be shared by all people in the parish. LRRA state its use isn't exclusively for Long Rock residents they just feel strongly about the name. They are not suggesting it is to be exclusive. NB - there was a lot of discussion with the old hall which was to be developed for the village and the s106 was either to rebuild the old hall LM – there wouldn't be enough money to rebuild the hall and it was discussed and settled. NB – Marazion have Marazion hall Ludgvan have Ludgvan community centre. SM - Ludgvan community centre has nothing to do with us. NB- Each village has its own hall with its own name. HS - There was never money for two halls.

	SM – Moves on with the agenda
LPC26.27.348	Pa26/04126 Proposal – No Objections Propose CR Second LZ Unanimous support PA26/05616 Proposal – AG proposer CR seconder, No objections Unanimous Support AG – Proposal Ludgvan PC writes to the Senior Planning Officer, Cornwall Council and Cornwall Councillors explaining the complaint with regards to due process by the Applicant, our concerns about validity of escalating appeal costs with a recommendation that all future applications should be afforded the statutory window time based upon a start date where both parties have confirmed in writing they are happy that the officers have full disclosure on that day. CR- suggests following from Alison's proposed letter to write to other TCs and PCs and request they write to CC over this. Propose add it to next month's meeting. - passed Ag propose write to the applicant to get the senior planning officer. Clerk to draft.
LPC26.27.349	accepted
LPC26.27.350	accepted
LPC26.27.351	Given Clerk to get quotes for the tree remediation.
LPC26.27.352	**Propose happy to pay solicitor proposed accepted unanimous** **Propose delegate oct CIL fund for clerk to spend on hall proposed accepted unanimous** Defer equipment Ag management should be representative of the parish. Cr policy for children and vulnerable adults needs to be in place. SM – brought up William Borlase as a possible hall name. CR – suggests Willam Borlase hall at long rock. Defer equipment management and name to dec
LPC26.27.353	Discussion of locations for NPS consultation meeting and quay house as a possible one. Decide in dec. Cllrs encouraged to email all Cllrs rather than just clerk on NPS matters.
LPC26.27.354	**Vote to delegate powers to clerk for this. Unanimous**

LPC26.27.355	Given.
LPC26.27.356	**Accepted unanimously**
LPC26.27.357	Deferred
LPC26.27.358	COC QK New Planning training if it is available.
LPC26.27.359	Noted the damaged wall and missing lines. SO Cllrs expressed they covered the matters in the public speaking section.
LPC26.27.360	Tech meeting Planning regs Number of Cllrs Defer equipment management and name NPS Reply to AG motions
LPC26.27.361	none

Meeting close - 2150